WO

# **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

#### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

			••	UND ZIN U		
Maria Isabel Mata			Isabel Mata	Case Number: <u>CR-11-199-01-PHX-ROS</u>		
	cordance stablishe		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	142(f), a detention hearing ha	s been held. I conclude that the following facts	
	-	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendar pending trial in this case.				
×	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
			PAR	T I FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	There i	s probable cause to believe th	at the defendant has committe	ed	
		$\boxtimes$	an offense for which a maxim 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 46	num term of imprisonment of to U.S.C. App. § 1901 et seq.	en years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§	
			an offense under 18 U.S.C. §	§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).		
			an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. imprisonment of ten years or	§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal cri more is prescribed.	mes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of	
			an offense involving a minor v	victim prescribed in	1	
X	(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the communication.			finding 1 that no condition or combination of as required and the safety of the community.		
				Alternative Findings		
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
	(2)	No con	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.			
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimida a prospective witness or juror).				
	(4)	home a	and work history. Defendant's	children do not live with her. t's five (5) year old child was i	cent border crossings. Defendant has unstable Defendant advised, apparently incorrectly, that In the vehicle when defendant was arrested and ck up the child.	
				TATEMENT OF REASONS F eck one or both, as applicable.)	OR DETENTION	
	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidenas to danger that:				
					_	

¹Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

### Case 2:11-cr-00199-ROS Document 12 Filed 02/18/11 Page 2 of 2

(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
	There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of				
The de	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:				
In add	ition:				

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

#### PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 17<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2011.

cc: AUSA, CNSL, PTS

Edward C. Voss United States Magistrate Judge